

**IN THE CLAIMS:**

**Please cancel the previous version of claim 1 and rewrite it as follows.**

**Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.121, the following is a clean copy of the rewritten claim. A marked-up version of claim 1 is attached as a separate sheet.**

C 1. (Twice Amended) A pressure sensitive adhesive sheet comprising a substrate and, superimposed thereon, a pressure sensitive adhesive layer, said substrate exhibiting a maximum value of dynamic viscoelasticity  $\tan \delta$  of 0.78 to 1.61 at a temperature ranging from -5 to 80° C.

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-4 are pending in the present application. Claim 1 has been amended to more particularly define the dynamic viscoelasticity as measured by the maximum value  $\tan \delta$  of the substrate. The amendment is supported in the example at page 21, Table 1, of the specification.

While relying on the examples to support the amended claim range for dynamic viscoelasticity, Applicants note that “[t]he written description requirement does not require the applicant to describe exactly the subject matter claimed, [instead] the description must clearly allow persons of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that [he or she] invented what is claimed.” Union Oil Co. v. Atlantic Richfield Co. 208 F.3d 989, 997; 54 USPQ2D 1227, 1235 (Fed. Cir. 2000) quoting In re Gosteli, 872 F.2d 1008, 1012; 10 USPQ2d 1614, 1618 (Fed. Cir. 1989). By claiming the actual ranges reduced to practice in the examples, Applicants sufficiently show that they possessed their invention “in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same....” (35 U.S.C. § 112). Claim ranges can be supported by “specific embodiments” In re Wertheim 541 F.2d 257, 265; 191 USPQ 90, 98 (CCPA 1976), MPEP § 2163.05 (III).